

Black Oak Ranch Water Conservation Proposal Score Sheet

Contractor:

Date:

Evaluation Criteria and Evaluation Score	Points
<p>Responsiveness to RFP/Invitation to Bid This criterion will be used to evaluate how complete and accurate the Applicant responded to the RFP/Invitation to Bid. Did the Applicant submit all the required documents? Was the application accurate and without error? Did the Applicant express an understanding of the work? Did the Applicant express any innovative approaches to the work?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (0-20 points) Applicant went above and beyond and demonstrated a clear understanding of the project, its constraints, and the schedule. • (0-15 points) Applicant provided a complete application but included some errors or omissions. • (0-5 points) Applicant did not provide a complete questionnaire. 	<p>20</p>
<p>Comments:</p>	
<p>Qualification and Experience: This criterion will be judged based on the unique qualifications of the Applicant to do the proposed work. This includes a demonstration of Applicant's ability to complete similar projects on time and on budget while producing high quality work. Areas of emphasis for qualifications include, but are not limited to, experience and success in the following areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (0-10 points): Applicant's experience constructing large ponds, water tanks, and/or plumbing/electrical infrastructure for relevant projects (0 -5 points) Applicant's experience managing water quality and Best Management Practices for watershed restoration activities (0-10 points) Applicant's experience adhering to engineered plans and specifications. (0-5 points) Applicant's experience working in similar ecological environments with similar avoidance and minimization measures to protect sensitive or protected species. (0-10 points): Applicant's experience with DIR and certified payroll. Applicant's ability to receive payment once project administrator (SRF) receives grant reimbursement and can operate within Wildlife Conservation Board terms and conditions including 10% retention during construction season. 	<p>40</p>

Evaluation Criteria and Evaluation Score	Points
Comments:	
<p>Disadvantaged Business Enterprise: Is the Applicant a disadvantaged business enterprise? This is defined as a for-profit small business where at least 51% interest is owned by individuals from communities that have been historically disadvantaged either socially or economically and that these individuals also control management and daily business operations. This term includes businesses owned by ethnic/racial/cultural minorities, women, owner--operated, and persons of the LGBTQ+, disability and veteran communities.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (0-5 points) The Applicant meets the definition for a disadvantaged business enterprise (DBE) or primarily works in disadvantaged communities. • (0-5 points) The Applicant is local (within 60 miles) and works in a disadvantaged community) • (0 points) The Applicant and all listed subcontractors are not considered a DBE. 	10
Comments:	
<p>Company Record of Performance and Qualifications: This criterion will be judged by the qualifications of the proposed key team members, other subcontractors, safety records, and the capacity of staff to implement a high-quality project.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (0-5 points) (5 if no record) Applicant has a clean Safety Record. • (0 - 5) (5, if no record) if Applicant has been disqualified, disbarred, defaulted or terminated, 2.5 if Subcontractor has been disqualified, disbarred, defaulted, or terminated. • (0-5 points) Capacity of staff (construction and admin) and experience of staff assigned to the project. • (0-5) (5 if no subs) Subcontractor record 	15

Evaluation Criteria and Evaluation Score	Points
Comments:	Score
<p>Project Cost: This criterion will be judged based on the reasonableness of the cost proposed in relation to the engineering cost estimate, factoring in escalation and inflation, including overall and component costs and rates (both in terms of Applicant to Applicant, but also as compared to regional and historic pricing).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (0-5) Applicant has demonstrated a good understanding of the work and costs per bid item are reasonable. • (0-5) Applicant's costs are closely related to the Engineer's Cost Estimate and accurately reflect escalation and inflation. • (0-5) Applicant understands that there is 10% retention that is deducted from each invoice and that invoice reimbursements may take up to 90 days since this is a state grant-funded project. SRF will file for a retention request after the construction season and once reimbursed, this retention will be paid out to contractors. 	15
Comments:	Score
Total Score (Out of 100 Points)	

Evaluation Criteria and Evaluation Score	Points

Please submit three examples of similar work in this format:

Name of Project:

Funder:

Type of work performed:

When project was completed

Reference: Contact person, affiliate and contact info

